# Latest News

from the

Institut Laue Langevin's (ILL's) Grenoble, France)

<u>Ultra-Cold Neutrons (UCNs) Physics Program</u>

PF2 team



Thomas Brenner

Peter Geltenbort



CANE DA TON

Tobias Jenke

with the help of directors, colleagues and friends (as you can see on the many slides)

Very Hot (fission) Neutrons



Ultracold Neutrons

10<sup>-7</sup> eV

#### http://www.ill.eu

P. Geltenbort

NEUTRONS

FOR SCIENCE

Nuclear Physics Division at the Institue of Experimental Physics of the University of Warsaw, Poland, 1 June 2017

1

# <u>Outline</u>

- ILL (Institut Laue Langevin) and its high flux reactor
- NPP (Nuclear and Particle Physics group)
- Ultra-Cold Neutrons (UCNs)
- Flagship experiments
  - Neutron Electric Dipole Moment (nEDM)
  - Neutron lifetime (nTau)
  - Gravitational Levels (GRS)

... all that followed by a movie (in case of interest)

# **Setting the scene:** Europe and France

EUROPE Greenland Barents Jan Mayen NORWAY Greenlan Norwegian Sea Arkhangel Reykjav ICELAND. NORWAY FINLAND Tórshavn Faroe Islands SWEDEN DENMARK Tamper SHETLAND Helsink RUSSIA ORKNEY Rockall Stockholm Tallinn HERRIDE North Atlantic North LITHUANIA Ocean UNITED Sea BELARUS KINGDOM POLA UKRAINE ROMANIA Bay of FRANCE Biscay Zagreb BULGARI/ Zaraca Madrid PORTUGAL URKE Lisbo SPAIN OREFC BALEARIC ISLANDS Mediterranean Sea Scale 1: 19,500,000 Tuni Lambert Conformal Conic Projection Valletta\* indard parallels 40°N and MALTA TUNISI ALGERIA MOROCCO

ILL - CERN (~150 km): 1.5 hours by car ILL - GANIL (~800 km): 7 hours by car ILL - GSI (~750 km): 7 hours by car

Grenoble - Warsaw (~1 740 km): 17 hours by car or 7.5 hours door to door by plane & bus

Nuclear Physics Division at the Institue of Experimental Physics of the University of Warsaw, Poland, 1 June 2017

802637A1 (R01083) 6-99

# Setting the scene: Grenoble (Capital of the French Alps)



Population: 180 000 380 000 (metro area) Elevation: 214 m Pic de Belledonne: 2 977 m

Amongst the flattest cities in France!

Warsaw (Capital of Poland) Population: 1 700 000 Elevation: 113 m

- The city benefits from the highest concentration of strategic jobs in France after Paris, with 14% of the employments, 35,186 jobs, 45% of which specialized in design and research.
- Grenoble is also the largest research center in France after Paris with 22,800 jobs (11,800 in public research, 7,500 in private research and 3,500 PhD students)

P. Geltenbort (W.G. Stirling)



#### We live in a materials world

Understanding materials underlies all of modern technology.





















#### A large number of potent tools

Europe has the world's leading infrastructure for characterizing materials.

#### Microscopy





Neutron scattering





NMR





X-rays



### Neutrons, a powerful probe (1)

The properties of matter and materials are largely determined by their structure and dynamics at the atomic scale - distance between atoms ~  $1 \text{ Å} = 1/100 \ 000 \ 000 \ cm$ 

The wavelength of the neutron is comparable to atomic sizes and the dimensions of atomic structures, which explains why neutrons can "see" atoms.

Therefore neutrons are an ideal tool to understand the world around us, telling scientists:

Where is which atom? How does it bind? How does it move? What surrounds it?



### Neutrons, a powerful probe (2)

They are electrically neutral: they can penetrate deep into matter.

Neutrons possess a spin, and therefore is sensitive to the magnetic properties of atoms.

They can distinguish between different elements and their various isotopes (in particular H2O versus D2O)

It is a non-destructive technique





- Everything started a bit more than 50 years ago Proposed in 1964 (Grenoble had knowledge + inclination) Laboratory agreed upon in 1967 by France and Germany · (Scientific) Founding fathers
  - L. Néel (NP 1970, antiferromagnetism) and H. Maier-Leibnitz



Traité de l'Elysée: 22 January 1963



Interstate treaty: 19 January 1967



Louis Néel 1904 – 2000 Nobel Prize 1970 Antiferromagnetism



Heinz Maier-Leibnitz 1911 – 2000

Nuclear Physics Division at the Institue of Experimental Physics of the University of Warsaw, Poland, 1 June 2017

# ILL's 50<sup>th</sup> Anniversary 19 January 2017

16 (out of 33) ILL directors celebrating the 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary party I experienced 25 directors during my time (December 1983 until today) at the ILL



# Ideas become concrete

- Reactor first critical in 1971
- Operational for researchers (user service) in 1972 58 MW
- UK joined in 1973

The Institut Laue-Langevin is an international research centre at the leading edge of neutron science and technology.

As the world's flagship centre for neutron science, the ILL provides scientists with a very high flux of neutrons feeding some 40 state-of-theart instruments, which are constantly being developed and upgraded.

As a service institute the ILL makes its facilities and expertise available to visiting scientists. Every year, some 1400 researchers from over 40 countries visit the ILL. More than 800 experiments selected by a scientific review committee are performed annually. Research focuses primarily on fundamental science in a variety of fields: condensed physics, matter chemistry, biology, nuclear physics and materials science, etc.



P. Geltenbort (H. Schober)

Nuclear Physics Division at the Institue of Experimental Physics of the University of Warsaw, Poland, 1 June 2017





### Mise en musique sur le RHF or the core of the High Flux Reactor



### Mise en musique sur le RHF or the core of the High Flux Reactor

### **Fuel element**





### Mise en musique sur le RHF or the core of the High Flux Reactor



### Le Bloc Pile dans son ensemble (reactor tank)



## Chantiers marguants (remarkable building and construction sites)

- Changement du bloc pile 91-94 (change of the reactor tank)
  - Première mondiale réussie => en 2017 le RHF est un réacteur « jeune »
- RMC : mise à niveau sismique (earthquake) : 2002-2006
  Séisme Majoré de Sécurité : M = 5,7

• STR : REX-Fukushima : 2011-2017 le RHF est le premier réacteur avec un Noyau Dur



#### The Nuclear and Particle Physics group (NPP)



NEUTRONS

Nuclear Physics Division at the Institue of Experimental Physics of the University of Warsaw, Poland, 1 June 2017

# **Science at ILL**





# **ILL users**





#### THE EUROPEAN NEUTRON SOURCE

P. Geltenbort (H. Schober)

20

Institut Laue-Langevin – founded in 1967, user operation since 1972 World leader in neutron science and technology

After more than 40 years in operation, we are still number ONE



FOR SOCIET)

# Record figures for publications in high-impact scientific journals





INSTITUT LAUE LANGEVIN

# The ILL modernisation programmes



## The Millenium Programme, 2001-2016

During this period we have:

- built or upgraded 28 instruments;
- replaced or renewed a great part of our neutron guides, making them 'twice as bright';
- improved our technical devices, from cryostats to magnets, new polarised optics and a new electronic instrument control system...







# ... as a result, the average neutron detection rate on the instruments has been improved by almost 25 times!





#### THE EUROPEAN NEUTRON SOURCE

P. Geltenbort (H. Schober)

Nuclear Physics Division at the Institue of Experimental Physics of the University of Warsaw, Poland, 1 June 2017

# The Endurance programme

Today we are setting our sights on the horizon of 2030.

Our goals:

- preserve our position of leadership by drawing on our strengths
- offer new possibilities in the fields of magnetism, materials science, soft matter, biology and particle physics

Future developments for the instrument suite and scientific infrastructure (phase 1):

- 9 instrument projects (amongst them 2 in our NPP group)
- Creation or refurbishment of neutron guides
- 2 infrastructure projects: sample environment and data analysis software





#### Endurance phase 1





# European Photon and Neutron (EPN) Science Campus





The Institut de Biologie Structurale (IBS) is a research centre in structural biology. The IBS possesses cutting edge facilities and is a partnership between CEA, CNRS and UJF **Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL)** operates the most intense (reactor) neutron source in the world, feeding a suite of 40 high-performance instruments

European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) is a world-leading synchrotron radiation source hosting 41 cutting-edge experimental stations

**European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL) Grenoble** is an outstation of the EMBL organisation (HQ in Heidelberg), specialising in research in structural biology (in very close proximity to the ILL and the ESRF)

P. Geltenbort (W.G. Stirling)

### **PN1:** The fission fragment separator "Lohengrin"

#### (H. Faust), U. Koester, A. Blanc, N. Laurens

#### mass-separated fission fragments,

#### up to 10<sup>5</sup> per second, $T_{1/2} \ge \mu s$





- n-flux 5.5×10<sup>14</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>/s
- few mg fission target (various materials)
- several 10<sup>12</sup> fissions/s

FOR SCIENCE

# Detection setup for fission product spectroscopy



Z *identification* via energy loss (Bethe Bloch)





Gamma ray detection Conversion-electron detection

Over 70 microsecond isomers studied so far at LOHENGRIN:  $T_{1/2} \ge 0.5 \ \mu s$ 

**FIPPS** FIssion Product Prompt γ-ray Spectrometer and its first experimental campaign

### $\gamma-{\rm ray}$ spectroscopy after slow neutron-induced reactions

 $(\mathbf{n},\gamma)$  $\rightarrow$  close to stability  $\rightarrow$  structure at low spin 108 /s/cm (below n-separation energy) neutron-induced fission neutron capture  $\rightarrow$  cross-sections (applications) (n,fission)  $\rightarrow$  away from stability  $\rightarrow$  fission yields and dynamics  $\rightarrow$  structure of n-rich nuclei 66 62 58 10.00% 54 5 z M ass y leld 132Su -proces 0.10% 235U (n.f)

102 10

98

1/13

248Cm(sf 252Cf(sf)

130 140 150 160 170

38 42

50 54 58 62 66 70

78

82

90

74

N

0.01%

70 80

90

100 110

120

Mass

# The new ILL instrument FIPPS (phase I)



- $\checkmark$  intense thermal neutron pencil beam
- $\checkmark\,$  stable, radioactive and actinide targets
- $\checkmark \gamma$ -ray detection:
  - $\rightarrow$  high-resolution HPGe clovers
  - ightarrow symmetry around target position
  - $\rightarrow$  digital electronics, list-mode data

#### $\checkmark$ ancillary detectors



2/13

## FIPPS: longer-term plans

Study the structure of n-rich nuclei and fission mechanism HPGe clovers + Gas-Filled-Magnet (GFM) for fission fragment selection

FIPPS phase II project submitted for Endurance II



$$\Delta A/A = 2.2\%$$

acceptance: 0.4% extracted beam; full reconstruction of ion tracks using a low-pressure TPC  $\rightarrow 3.5\%$ 





superconducting magnet designed by E. Froidefond (LPSC Grenoble)

Evolution of  $\overline{B}$  with mass for 7.2 mbar of N<sub>2</sub>





Nuclear Physics Division at the Institue of Experimental Physics of the University of Warsaw, Poland, 1 June 2017

# V4: The brightest spot in Europe

### 1.5.10<sup>15</sup> n.cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>

## Targeted radionuclide therapies in the clinic



# **PN3:** The high resolution gamma ray facility

General Layout and Parameters (PN3 since end 2014)



P. Geltenbort (M. Jentschel)

Nuclear Physics Division at the Institue of Experimental Physics of the University of Warsaw, Poland, 1 June 2017
# GAMS & DIGRA



P. Geltenbort (M. Jentschel)

## **Overview on Energy resolution**



Nuclear Physics Division at the Institue of Experimental Physics of the University of Warsaw, Poland, 1 June 2017

FOR SOCIETY



P. Geltenbort

# Current concept of mass definition



Cross comparison of copies of kg prototype stored at BIPM in Paris, France



#### More modern concept: Linking Masses to Frequency via *h* requires: E=mc<sup>2</sup>





In April 2017 through beam tube H6/H7 removed and sealed



P. Geltenbort (T. Soldner / H. Schober)

# **STEREO** setup

#### detection of e-antineutrinos through inverse beta-decay in gadolinium doped liquid scintillators



Nuclear Physics Division at the Institue of Experimental Physics of the University of Warsaw, Poland, 1 June 2017

lovember 2016

# Nuclear and particle physics at ILL

518 - CRG instrument (Atominstitut, TU Vienna, Austria [T. Jenke])

interferometer (perfect Si crystals) for basic neutron quantum optics, fundamental tests of quantum physics, neutron scattering lengths and USANS (ultra-small angle neutron scattering)



FOR SCIENCE

Lewis Caroll Alice's Adventures in Wonderland 1865

#### Observation of a quantum Cheshire Cat in a matter wave interferometer experiment

Tobias Denkmayr<sup>1</sup>, Hermann Geppert<sup>1</sup>, Stephan Sponar<sup>1</sup>, Hartmut

Lemmel<sup>1,2</sup>, Alexandre Matzkin<sup>3</sup>, Jeff Tollaksen<sup>4</sup>, and Yuji Hasegawa<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Atominstitut, Vienna University of Technology, Stadionallee 2, 1020 Vienna, Austria

<sup>2</sup>Institut Laue-Langevin, 6, Rue Jules Horowitz, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

<sup>3</sup> Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modélisation, CNRS Unité 8089,

Université de Cergy-Pontoise, 95302 Cergy-Pontoise cedex, France0

<sup>4</sup>Institute for Quantum Studies and Schmid School of Science and Technology,

Chapman University, One University Drive, Orange, CA 92866, USA

(Dated: December 16, 2013)

From its very beginning quantum theory has been revealing extraordinary and counter-intuitive phenomena, such as wave-particle duality [1], Schrödinger cats [2] and quantum non-locality [3–6]. In the study of quantum measurement, a process involving pre- and postselection of quantum ensembles in combination with a weak interaction was found to yield unexpected outcomes [7]. This scheme, usually referred to as "weak measurements", can not only be used as an amplification technique [8–10] and for minimal disturbing measurements [11, 12], but also for the exploration of quantum paradoxes [13–17]. Recently the quantum Cheshire Cat has attracted attention [18–20]: a quantum system can behave as if a particle and its property (e.g. its polarization) are spatially separated. Up to now most



FIG. 1: Artistic depiction of the quantum Cheshire Cat: Inside the interferometer the Cat goes through the upper beam path, while its grin travels along the lower beam path.

P. Geltenbort

Nuclear Physics Division at the Institue of Experimental Physics of the University of Warsaw, Poland, 1 June 2017

path II. The two outgoing beams of the interferometer are monitored by the H- and O-detector in

reflected and forward directions, respectively. Only the neutrons reaching the O-detector are affected by

postselection using a spin turner (ST2) and a spin analyzer (A).

# Properties of UCN

Ultracold neutrons, that is, neutrons whose energy is so low that they can be contained for long periods of time in material and magnetic bottles

 $E_{kin}$  (~ 5 ms<sup>-1</sup>) = 100 neV (**10**<sup>-7</sup> eV)

λ<sub>UCN</sub> ~ 1000 Å

 $T_{UCN} \sim 2 \text{ mK}$ 

FOR SCIENCE

UCN are totally reflected from suitable materials at any angle of incidence, hence **Storable**!

Long storage and observation times possible (up to several minutes)!

High precision measurements of the properties of the free neutron (lifetime, electric dipole moment, gravitational levels, ...)

Interaction with matter: UCN see a Fermi-Potential E<sub>F</sub>

 $E_F \sim 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$  for many materials, e.g.

- beryllium 252 neV - stainless steel 200 neV



 $V_n > V_{crit}$ 

UCN are furthermore storable by gravity and/or magnetic fields

Fermi potential	~ 10 <sup>-7</sup> eV
Gravity ∆E=m <sub>n</sub> g∆h	~ 100 neV / Meter
Magnetic field $\Delta E = \mu_n B$	~ 60 neV / Tesla

# Some KEYSTONES in early UCN RESEARCH

1932	Chadwick	discovers the NEUTRON
1936	Fermi	realizes that COHERENT SCATTERING of slow neutrons leads to an EFFECTIVE INTERACTION POTENTIAL V with V > 0 (or index of refraction < 1) for most materials
~1940	Fermi	realizes TOTAL REFLECTION for neutrons with $E \sin^2 \Theta = V$ or $\sin \Theta = (V/E)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
1946/47	Fermi & collaborators	demonstrate TOTAL REFLECTION (basis for n guides) led to speculations: if E≤V then STORABLE
1959	Zel'dovich	put it into <b>print</b> , estimates absorption times and <b>densities</b> (~50cm <sup>-3</sup> !)
1961	Vladimirski	suggests vertical extraction
1963	Doroshkevich	suggests <b>berillyium</b> and estimates the loss rates (as a function of temperatrure) due to wall vibrations $\leq 10^{-7}s^{-1}$
1966	Steyerl	proposes a <b>neutron turbine</b> for 10 <sup>-8</sup> ≤E≤10 <sup>-9</sup> eV
1968	Shapiro	proposes to measure neutron EDM with UCN
1969	Shapiro et al., Steyerl	independently extract and measure UCN
1974	Steyerl	realizes "his" turbine at FRM in Garching
1980	Kosvintsev et al.	earliest material bottle n lifetime experiments at SM-2 reactor in Dimitrovgrad
1983	Bates	Fomblin oil as fluid wall coating
1985	Steyerl et al.	2 <sup>nd</sup> generation turbine at ILL's vertical cold source: PF2
1989	Mampe et al.	first n lifetime experiment using material bottle at the ILL setting <b>new standard of precision</b>

NEUTRONS FOR SCIENCE



Fig. 1. Vertical beam tube for very slow neutrons.

P. Geltenbort

between shutter and detector < 1 mm); 14 - shutter mechanism; 15 - trap i

Nuclear Physics Division at the Institue of Experimental Physics of the University of Warsaw, Poland, 1 June 2017

48

### how UCN were "really" discovered in Dubna

drawing courtesy of A.V. Strelkov

who will celebrate his 80<sup>th</sup> anniversary this year!





# The UCN/VCN facility PF2





## Generating Ultracold Neutrons (UCN)

"Steyerl turbine" Doppler shifting device







Steyerl turbine (2<sup>nd</sup> generation) at PF2 / ILL 10 years later

The total UCN current density is  $2.6 \times 10^4$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> up to  $v_z = 6.2$  m/s and  $3.3 \times 10^4$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> up to  $v_z = 7$  m/s. The total UCN current amounts to more than a million UCNs/s. Furthermore, we deduce from the TOF data special UCN densities of 87 cm<sup>-3</sup> (for  $v_z < 6.2$  m/s ) and 110 cm<sup>-3</sup> for  $v_z < 7$  m/s

In a storage bottle experiment 36 UCNs per cm<sup>-3</sup> (for  $v_z < 6.2$  m/s ) were detected!

P. Geltenbort

### UCN facilities - Status and Future



More and stronger UCN facilities in the future worldwide

- PSI (CH)
- Mainz / Munich (D)
- ILL (F)
- LANL / NIST / SNS / NCSU (USA)
- RCNP (J) then TRIUMF (Canada) JPARC (J)
- PNPI (RUS)

NEUTRONS FOR SCIENCE

# **UCN production in He-II**



#### Long-term perspective

long-term perspective:

#### "UCN competence center at the ILL"



21





Electric Dipole Moment: neutron is electrically neutral

If average positions of positive and negative charges do not coincide:

E.M. Purcell and N.F. Ramsey Phys. Rev. 78, 807 (1950)

EDM d<sub>n</sub>

CP violation in Standard Model generates very small neutron EDM Beyond the Standard Model contributions tend to be much bigger

neutron a very good system to look for CP violation beyond the Standard Model

**Experiments**:

Measurement of Larmor precession frequency of polarised neutrons in a Compare the precession frequency for parallel fields:



P. Geltenbort (M. Van der Grinten)

#### The neutron EDM: exp. vs theory

Progress at ~ order of magnitude per decade Standard Model out of reach Severe constraints on *e.g.* Super Symmetry



# **Room Temperature Results**



 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Room temperature neutron EDM result:} \\ \mbox{C.A. Baker et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 131801 (2006)} \\ \mbox{|} d_n | < 2.9 \times 10^{-26} \ e.cm \ (90\% \ C.L.) \end{array}$ 

Reanalysis: J.M. Pendlebury et al., Phys. Rev. D 92, 092003 (2015)

$$|d_n| < 3.0 \times 10^{-26}$$
 e.cm (90% C.L.)

P. Geltenbort (H. Kraus)

# **Reality check**

### If neutron were the size of the Earth...



# Worldwide nEDM Searches









P. Geltenbort

# UCN are always good for a surprise!

### Transmission through flexible water hose Yu. Panin et al., RRC KI Moscow





Surprising result (80 cm hose with 8 mm inner diameter)

## transmission around 85%

## Relative Transmission Probability of "fancy guides"



#### <u>Top view:</u>

- The tube length equals L=190 cm.
- The tube length equals L=290 cm; the tube is coated inside with thin layer of Fluorine polymer.

NEUTRONS FOR SCIENCE The free neutron lifetime:  $n \rightarrow p + e^- + \overline{v}_e (+782 \text{ keV})$  $n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e + \gamma \quad BR(15keV) \approx 3 \times 10^{-3}$  $\frac{1}{-\infty} \propto G_{\rm F}^2, \ V_{\rm ud}^2, \ \lambda^2 \qquad \lambda = \frac{g_{\rm A}}{-\infty}$  $n \to H^\circ + \bar{\nu}_e \quad BR \approx 4 \times 10^{-6}$ Neutrino induced reactions: Together with measurements Weak interaction theory of asymmetry coefficients  $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} + p \rightarrow \mu^{+} + n$ in neutron decay Neutrino physics  $v_{\mu} + n \rightarrow \mu^{-} + p$ Cosmology Extraction of  $g_V g_A$  and  $V_{ud}$ Neutrino detectors:  $p + \overline{v}_e \rightarrow n + e^+$ Test of Conserved Vector Current Solar pp-process:  $(CVC: 'g_V' = 1)$  $p+p \rightarrow d+e^++\nu_e \quad \sigma \propto g_\perp^2$ Test of Unitary of CKM matrix Big bang:  $\sigma \propto \frac{1}{2}$  $(V_{ud}^2 + V_{us}^2 + V_{ub}^2 = 1)$  $\tau_{n}$ Primordial elements' abundances Important input parameter Necessary to understand Necessary to calibrate matter abundance in the Neutrino Detectors for tests of the Standard Model Universe and to predict of the weak interaction event rates

### Measurements of the neutron lifetime $T_n$

exponential decay law:  $N = N_0 e^{-\lambda t}$ 

NEUTRONS FOR SCIENCE

or, ultimately, measure the exponential decay directly





## Scheme of "Gravitrap", the gravitational UCN storage system



UCN traps are made from copper:

- 1. quasi-spherical (cylinder + 2 truncated cones) trap, inner
- 2. narrow (14 cm) cylindrical trap, inner surface sputtered
- 3. wide (50 cm) cylindrical trap, inner surface sputtered tita



### Typical measuring cycle



- filling 160 s (time of trap rotation (35 s) to monitoring position is included);
- monitoring 300 s;
- holding 300 s or 2000 s (time of trap rotation (7 s) to holding position is included);
- emptying has 5 periods 150 s, 100 s, 100 s, 100 s, 150 s (time of trap rotation (2.3 s, 2.3 s, 2.3 s, 3.5 s, 24.5 s) to each position is included);
- measurement of background 100 s.

$$N(t_2) = N(t_1) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{t - t_1}{\tau_{st}}\right)$$

$$\tau_{st} = \frac{t_2 - t_1}{\ln\left(N(t_1)/N(t_2)\right)}$$

#### A.P. Serebrov et al. , Phys Lett B 605, (2005) 72-78 : (878.5 ± 0.8) s

#### **Test for Standard Model**



PDG data

The best accuracy data



Dependence of the CKM matrix element  $|V_{ud}|$  on the values of the neutron lifetime and the axial coupling constant  $g_A$ . (1) neutron lifetime, PDG 2015; (2) neutron  $\beta$ -asymmetry, PDG 2015; (3) neutron  $\beta$ decay, PDG 2015; (4) unitarity; (5)  $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$  nuclear transitions.

Dependence of the CKM matrix element  $|V_{ud}|$  on the values of the neutron lifetime and the axial coupling constant  $g_A$ . (1) neutron lifetime, PDG 2015; (2) neutron  $\beta$ -asymmetry, PERKEO II; (3) neutron  $\beta$ decay, PDG 2015 + PERKEO II; (4) unitarity; (5) 0<sup>+</sup> $\rightarrow$ 0<sup>+</sup> nuclear transitions.

### Does the neutron lifetime depend on the measuring method?



Figure 2: A summary of recent neutron lifetime measurements, showing the five UCN bottle [18, 16, 19, 20, 21] and two neutron beam [12, 15] results used in the 2014 PDG recommended value of  $\tau_n = 880.3 \pm 1.1$  s. The shaded regions show the weighted average  $\pm 1\sigma$  of each method, which disagree by  $3.8\sigma$ .

F. Wietfeldt, arXiv:1411.3687v1 [nucl-ex]



# For a broader public





Two precision experiments disagree on how long neutrons live before decaying. Does the discrepancy reflect measurement errors or point to some deeper mystery?

By Geoffrey L. Greene and Peter Geltenbort

The best experiments in the world cannot agree on how long neutrons live before decaying into other particles. Two main types of experiments are under way: butter reac count the number of neutrons that unive alter vartures counts the number of neutrons that unive alter vartures.

April 2016, ScientificAmerican.com 37

Translated and published in International Editions of Scientific American: France, Germany, Italy, Spain China, Japan, Russia, Poland, Israel, ...



两个测量中子寿命的精密实验结果存在着差异。这种差异究竟反映了测量的误差, 还是预示着一些更深层次的待解之谜?

> 撰文 杰弗里·L·格林(Geoffrey L. Greene) 彼得·格尔滕博特(Peter Geltenbort) 翻译 张寂潮 孙保华

### **New setup -** *Big Gravitational Trap*



P. Geltenbort (A.P. Serebrov)

**Scheme of Big Gravitational Trap** 



#### Method of neutron lifetime determination

 $\tau_{1}^{-1} = \tau_{n}^{-1} + \eta \gamma_{1}$   $\tau_{2}^{-1} = \tau_{n}^{-1} + \eta \gamma_{2}$   $\tau_{n}^{-1} = (\tau_{1}^{-1} + \tau_{2}^{-1}) / 2 - \eta (\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2}) / 2$   $\eta = (\tau_{1}^{-1} - \tau_{2}^{-1}) / (\gamma_{1} - \gamma_{2})$ 



We can measure two different storage times ( $\tau_1, \tau_2$ ) correspondent to two different collisions frequencies ( $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$ )

The lifetime of a free neutron ( $\mathcal{T}_n$ ) is determined by the extrapolation to an infinite trap size with zero collision frequency.

The probability of UCN losses for one collision ( $\eta$ ) can also be experimentally determined. However, the collision frequencies ( $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$ ) of UCNs with the surfaces have to be calculated by Monte Carlo simulations.
### **The Big Gravitational Trap**

with Fomblin grease coating at liquid nitrogen temperature

**Results of neutron lifetime measurements :** 

 $880.5 \pm 0.8_{st} \pm 0.7_{syst}$ 

### **Table of systematic errors**

Systematic effect	Value, s
<b>Uncertainty of γ function calculating (MC)</b>	0.1
Uncertainty of shape of function $\mu(\mathbf{E})$	0.3
Uncertainty of trap dimensions (3 mm for diameter 1200 mm)	0.15
Uncertainty of trap angular position (2°)	0.1
Uncertainty of difference for trap and insert coating	0.6
Total	0.7

# Worldwide nLifetime Searches



# Neutrons in the gravity field



Schrödinger eq. with linearized gravity potential

 $l=27 \mu m$ 

$$\left(-\frac{\eta^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + mgz\right)\varphi_n(z) = E_n\varphi_n(z)$$
$$\varphi_n(z) = a_n Ai\left(\frac{z}{z_0} - \frac{E_n}{E_0}\right) + b_n Bi\left(\frac{z}{z_0} - \frac{E_n}{E_0}\right)$$

- bound, discrete states
- Non-equidistant energy levels

state	energy	
1	1.41 peV	
2	2.56 peV	
3	3.98 peV	Slit width

bc:  $\varphi_n(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi_n(l) = 0$ 

## Discovery of neutron quantum states in 1999

Nesvizhevsky et al, Nature 415 (2002)





#### qBounce <u>q</u>uantum <u>bo</u>uncing <u>u</u>ltracold <u>n</u>eutron pre<u>c</u>ision <u>e</u>xperiment



#### **Experimental Gravity & Cosmology:**

- Large extra dimensions
- Cosmological Constant
- spin-dependent new interactions (ALP)
- dark energy models (chameleon fields)

#### **Gravity & Quantum Physics**

- WEP test in quantum regime
- COW discrepancy
- Quantum transport phenomena

#### Quantum Mechanics

- quantum interference *phases*
- highly sensitive methods: resonance spectroscopy
  - E = hv

#### (Neutron physics) technologies

- UCN detector physics
- Neutron optics & materials
- Vibration analysis
- Micro- and nanopositioning

7



### • minimal number of parameters:

- slit width
- state-dep. lifetimes
- (complex) coefficients  $C_n$
- time of flight t
- oscillation:
  - frequency
  - amplitude
  - phase
- measure:

1.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

P. Geltenbort (T. Jenke)

-40

Transmission

• transmission

 $N \cdot |\psi|^2$ 

20

40

0

 $\mathcal{T}_n$ 

V

A

 $\mathcal{V}_0$ 

(f[t])





-20

### **Gravity Resonance Spectroscopy**



- first-time realization in 2009
- precision measurements in 2010 & 2011
- output:
  - experimental limits on Non-Newtonian gravity
  - study of rough surfaces
    - (quantum transport phenomena)



LETTERS PUBLISHED ONLINE: 17 APRIL 2011 | DOI: 10.038/NPHYS1970

### Realization of a gravity-resonance-spectroscopy technique

Tobias Jenke<sup>1</sup>, Peter Geltenbort<sup>2</sup>, Hartmut Lemmel<sup>1,2</sup> and Hartmut Abele<sup>1,3,4</sup>\*

PRL 112, 151105 (2014)	Selected for a Viewpoint in Physics PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS	week ending 18 APRIL 2014
	۵. ۲	

#### Gravity Resonance Spectroscopy Constrains Dark Energy and Dark Matter Scenarios

T. Jenke,<sup>1,\*</sup> G. Cronenberg,<sup>1</sup> J. Burgdörfer,<sup>2</sup> L. A. Chizhova,<sup>2</sup> P. Geltenbort,<sup>3</sup> A. N. Ivanov,<sup>1</sup> T. Lauer,<sup>4</sup> T. Lins,<sup>1,+</sup> S. Rotter,<sup>2</sup> H. Saul,<sup>1,+</sup> U. Schrridt,<sup>5</sup> and H. Abele<sup>1,5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Atominstitut, Technische Universität Wine, Saufonaufletz Z. 1020 Wen, Asstria <sup>2</sup>hsvittute for Theoretical Physics, Vienna University of Technology, Wiedner Haupstraßte 8-10, 1040 Vienna, Austria <sup>3</sup>hsvittute Lauer, Ampevin, RP 156, 6 Kue Jule: Horweitz, 3902 Geneble Ceckes, 9, France <sup>4</sup>FRM II, Technische Universität Mänchen, Lichtenbergstraßte I, 85748 Garching, Germany <sup>2</sup>Physikalisches Institut, Universität Hokdelberg, Im Neuenbeimer Feld 226, 60/120 Heidelberg, Germany (Received 26 November 2013), publishel 10 April 2014)



Tobias Jenke, Atominstitut TU Wien

11

# <u>Gravity Resonance</u> Spectroscopy (GRS)

• Rabi setup (2012)





T. Jenke et al.: "Realization of a gravity-resonance-spectroscopy technique Nature Physics 7, 468–472 (2011)

P. Geltenbort (G. Cronenberg)

Nuclear Physics Division at the Institue of Experimental Physics of the University of Warsaw, Poland, 1 June 2017

Height  $[\mu m]$ 

# Results



Transitions 1-3 and 1-4 observed

1-3: (46 ± 5)% intensity drop



# Setup





### M. Horvath

# Outlook: Probing neutrons neutrality



• Electric field modifies detectable phase



Durstberger-Rennhofer, K. et al. PRD 84, 036004 (2011)

### Realization of a Neutron Bouncing Ball Gravity Spectrometer



### classical equation of motion for a falling body reflected on a mirror

P. Geltenbort (H. Abele)

Nuclear Physics Division at the Institue of Experimental Physics of the University of Warsaw, Poland, 1 June 2017

85

## quantum bouncing ultracold neutrons

### State Selector

 $\blacksquare$  Snapshots with spatial resolution detectors ~ 1.5  $\mu m$ 



## Preparation L = 0



P. Geltenbort (H. Ábele)

counts

residuals

## 2nd bounce, 2nd turning point, L = 41 mm

41 mm



P. Geltenbort (H. Abele)

## Move downwards, L = 51 mm



P. Geltenbort (H. Abele)

## Show Case: qBOUNCE



# **Recent PF2 highlights in ILL's Annual Report**

Search for mirror dark matter (2007	7)	
	A. Serebrov et al, Phys. Lett. B 663 (2008) 181	
	G. Ban et al., Phys Rev. Lett. <b>99</b> (2009) 161603	
Optics with accelerated matter (200	7)	
	A. Frank et al, Phys. At. Nucl. <b>71</b> (2008) 1656	
VCN reflection on diamond nanopowde	er (2008)	
	E. Lychagin et al, Phys. Lett. B 679 (2009) 186	
Phase space transformer (2008)		
	S. Mayer et al, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A <b>608</b> (2009) 434	
Test of Lorentz invariance (2009		
	I. Altarev et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. <b>103</b> (2009) 081602	
Search for axion-like particles (2009)		
	A. Serebrov et al, JETP Lett. 91 (2010) 6	
Gravity resonance spectroscopy (2011)		
	T. Jenke et al., Nature Phys. 7 (2011) 468	
Improving our knowledge on dark matter and dark energy using ultracold neutrons (2012)		
	T. Jenke et al., arXiv:1208.3875 and PRL 112 (2014) 151105	
Slow-neutron mirrors from holographic nanoparticle polymer composites (2013)		
	J. Klepp et al., Materials 5 (2012) 2788	
MONOPOL - a travelling-wave magnetic neutron spin resonator for tailoring polarised neutron beams (2013)		
	E. Jericha et al., to be published	
Neutrons constrain dark energy and dark matter scenarios (2014)		
	T. Jenke et al., PRL 112 (2014) 151105	
Does the neutron lifetime depend on the method used to measure it? (2015)		
	S. Arzumanov et al., Phys. Rev. B 745 (2015) 79	

I hope I could convince you that ultracold neutrons

 due to the fact that they are storable continue to be

a fancy and powerful tool in fundamental physics

### ... and that ILL'S UCN facility PF2 and the other

Nuclear and Particle Physics installations

are still very attractive places for fundamental research







